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Executive Summary:

This report is intended to contain the analysis made on certain individual requirements assigned to the Student #4. The reason for this analysis to be carried out is to have a clear understanding of what the requirement is asking to implement. In this deliverable, one requirement has been found to be unclear and as a consequence, in need of clarification
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# INTRODUCTION

In this document you will find the results of the analysis carried out on the requirements demanded for this deliverable. The need for this analysis raised during the process of completing the task, due to a lack of information on an specific requirement.

In this report, the requirement itself is presented along with a justification of why we thought it needed clarification. Furthermore, it also contains the lecturer validation

# REQUIREMENT TO BE ANALYZED

## Managerial Requirement 2

**Verbatim copy of the requirement:**

*“Provide a link to your planning dashboard in GitHub to review the tasks, their current status, and your schedule.”*

As the requirement specifies, it is demanded to provide a link to the dashboard. Nevertheless, where this link should be located is not provided.

After some deliberation, the decision that we as a team opted to is to create a directory within “reports/Deliverable1/”, where a folder for each student and another for the group can be found.

The reason that made us adopt this decision was to have a clear and organized access to each of the links for each student, leaving no margin for doubt. This, we hope, will also liven up the lecturer’s work. Furthermore this space is also going to be used in order to store each of the student’s reports.

The link to the lecturer’s validation of this analysis is now provided. As this topic was already addressed in the forum by other team, in the response of the lecturer a link to that thread is provided.

[Link to the thread](https://ev.us.es/webapps/discussionboard/do/message?action=list_messages&course_id=_89154_1&nav=discussion_board&conf_id=_426211_1&forum_id=_253522_1&message_id=_458392_1)

# CONCLUSIONS

To sum up the outcome of this analysis can be considered as successful because, if the analysis had not been carried out, maybe the requirement would not be considered satisfied. This could have affected the grade of the deliverable negatively.

In addition, the direct approval from the lecturer ensures the validity of the analysis.
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